779. The figures below give the actual values and index numbers for the years comprised in the table, and also what the index numbers and values would have been at the prices of 1883, the basis of comparison being still the same:—

ACTUAL INDEX NUMBERS AND VALUES FOR 1882-1893, AND THE SAME AT PRICES OF 1883.

YEAR.	Index No.	Actual Values.	Index No.	At 1883 Prices
		\$		\$
1882	1073	94,137,660	1078	94,541,000
1883	1000	87,702,431	1000	87,702,000
1884	910	79,833,098	942	82,666,000
1885	902	79,131,735	997	87,429,000
l886 ,	887	77,756,704	1015	89,053,000
l887	923	80,960,909	1082	94,862,000
1888	928	81,382,072	1034	90,687,000
l889	915	80,272,456	1023	89,753,000
1890	972	85,257,586	1054	92,414,000
l891	1012	88,801,066	1087	95,300,000
1892	1133	99,338,913	1256	110,180,000
1893	1206	105,798,257	1334	120,160,000

By this mode of comparison it would appear that the value of the aggregate trade during the years named, would, if the prices of 1883 had been maintained, have been over \$94,000,000 more than it actually was.

780. The next table gives what the actual index numbers and values would have been at prices of 1882-93:—

## EXPORTS OF 1883.

At Prices of	f 1882	Index No.	1008	=	\$88,404,000
"	1883	6.6	1000	=	87,702,000
66	1884	66	980	=	85,948,000
"	1885	66	918	=	80,510,000
"	1886	"	888	=	77,879,000
"	1887	"	875	_	76,739,000
"	1888	"	912	=	79,984,000
"	1889	"	946	==	82,966,000
"	$1890.\ldots$	66	938	==	82,264,000
" •	1891	6.6	924	=	81,037,000
66	1892	"	929	=	81,475,000
"	1893	"	905	=	79,370,000

781. From the following table it will be seen that if the exports of 1893, \$105,798,000, are estimated at the export prices of 31\frac{1}{3}